



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6731
Title of the Manuscript:	LC Tuned Magnetic Levitation System
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Poorly formatted manuscript, font changes in the middle of the paragraph, figures are cutting of sentence. Significant revision needed. 2) The resolution of equations are low 3) Revise figures 2, 5. Captions are in different page 4) Add few more sentences on the novelty of the paper.	1)The required corrections are implemented. 2) The resolution of equations are modified. 3) Figures 2 and 5 are revised. 4) Sentences on novelty added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		